



Universal Internet Access: A Modern Human Right or a Path to Digital Colonialism

Dr.A.Shaji George

Independent Researcher, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract - Access to the Internet has become an absolute necessity and nearly ubiquitous in the contemporary digital age. Statistics place the number of online users at 59% of the world's population, or more than 4.5 billion individuals. In addition to providing access to entertainment, education, and healthcare, the Internet also facilitates business opportunities, social connections, and information. Especially critical during the COVID-19 pandemic, it facilitated social interaction, commerce, and remote work in the midst of lockdowns. Nevertheless, significant disparities in access continue to exist, with 37% of the global population lacking both Internet connectivity and digital literacy. The majority of this "digital divide" exists between developed and developing countries. In the twenty-first century, economic mobility and participation are severely restricted for those who lack connectivity. Whether Internet access should now be regarded as a fundamental human right as opposed to a luxury has been the subject of discussion. In today's digitized society, proponents contend that Internet accessibility facilitates the realization of established civil rights such as free speech, healthcare, and education. Conversely, there are those who urge against prioritizing the implementation of fundamental necessities such as shelter, food, and water by framing Internet access as an essential right. This has prompted suggestions that Internet access be classified as a "ancillary right" that supports fundamental human rights guarantees without superseding them. Although the Internet offers numerous advantages, apprehensions have been raised regarding the monetization and consolidation of personal data flows by corporations, particularly in developing nations. Critics contend that prominent technology companies such as Amazon, Google, and Facebook function as "digital colonial" forces, as they exploit individuals' data for financial gain without offering adequate privacy safeguards in exchange. Developing nations function as promising emerging markets, in return for obtaining negligible tax revenues from technology companies. Achieving universal Internet access while implementing adequate security measures continues to be a delicate balancing act. Although connectivity has been crucial for promoting economic and social inclusion in the era of information, it is insufficient to address systemic inequalities on its own; guaranteed fundamental rights, effective data governance, and corporate responsibility are also required. In conjunction with a rights-based framework that addresses fundamental requirements, increased Internet accessibility must be accompanied by regulatory reforms that grant users more protections and tech companies greater obligations across jurisdictions. By effectively managing these priorities, developing nations can circumvent exploitative digital reliance and harness technological advancements for the purpose of sustainable development.

Keywords: Internet access, Digital rights, Digital Colonialism, Digital divide, Online governance, Data protection, Privacy, Digital literacy, Cyber sovereignty, Platform accountability.



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Navigating the Complexities of Global Internet Access and Governance

The advent of the internet has revolutionized communication, commerce, entertainment, and access to information in the modern digital era. What began as a decentralized military and academic network has burgeoned into an indispensable connector linking over 4.6 billion people worldwide. The rapid penetration of internet-enabled mobile phones has especially accelerated this connectivity—over 90 percent of users in developing countries now access the internet primarily through their phones.

As the internet has become nearly synonymous with participation in 21st century economic and social spheres, a debate has emerged around whether access to the internet merits recognition as a fundamental human right enshrined by international law. Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights upholds the right to “receive and impart information through any media regardless of frontiers.” In 2011, the United Nations passed a non-binding resolution affirming that denying access to the internet constitutes a human rights violation. However, enforcing internet access as an irrevocable right like food, water and housing remains legally ambiguous and practically questionable to date.

Proponents for enumerating the internet as a human right make ethical and pragmatic arguments. First, they contend that internet availability interlinks with several established rights like healthcare, education, free speech and economic opportunity in today’s digitized society. Restricting connectivity hinders fulfillment of those connected guarantees. For example, remote e-Learning enables expanded educational access during the COVID-19 pandemic when schools are closed, while telemedicine grants patients in remote areas access to health professionals without travel. Politically, repressive regimes routinely restrict internet access to constrain free speech and assembly rights. Economically, the internet empowers entrepreneurship, job seeking and financial inclusion for those once excluded from traditional markets.

However, critics counter that in developing countries with widespread poverty, framing internet access as an essential right risks diverting scarce governmental resources from implementing primary needs like nutrition, clean water, electricity, and housing first. Over 250 million people globally lack adequate housing presently. While lack of connectivity hampers economic mobility, homelessness presents a more fundamental survival impediment that governments must prioritize addressing under constrained budgets. This has stirred proposals for defining the internet as an ancillary right that facilitates and enhances opportunities for fulfilling primary human rights guarantees but does not supersede foundational requirements like housing in significance.

Beyond legal codification complications, the internet’s rapidly evolving commercial architecture has also sparked concerns about consolidated corporate control concentrating power in wealthy nations. Critics argue that “Big Tech” giants like Google, Facebook, Amazon, and Microsoft constitute “digital colonial” overlords, increasingly dominating internet traffic and extracting lucrative user data assets from developing countries while providing minimal returns like tax revenues or data protections. Much like European imperial powers historically built railroads and exploited resources within colonized territories in Africa and Asia, present-day tech titans are accused of strategically expanding internet access to mine valuable consumer data in emerging markets.

For example, Facebook’s Free Basics platform offers limited no-cost web access to mobile phone consumers in poorer nations. However, it restricts usage only to Facebook and certain other preferred services. Critics thus deride it as a predatory “walled garden” luring poorer users to build market share rather than an altruistic public infrastructure investment. More broadly, tech giants pay minimal taxes



within countries providing their vast user bases. Over 75% of Facebook’s monthly active users hailed from outside the US and Canada as of 2020, while 88% of Google’s billions in overseas profits in 2019 were shifted to tax havens like Bermuda using legal loopholes. Both data and fiscal flows concentrate gains in Silicon Valley while exporting the true costs of digital disruption abroad.

Achieving universal internet access with proper protections thus remains a complex balancing act with legal, commercial and ethical dimensions. Global connectivity has proven instrumental for spreading information, reducing inequality and enabling surveillance of human rights violations worldwide. But connectivity alone cannot solve systemic injustices without ensured baseline rights, governance against exploitation, and policies ensuring corporations that commodify the internet share both tax burdens and privacy obligations beyond borders. Merely conduiting more data flows absent rights or accountability risks outsourcing digital colonization rather than empowerment. Thus expanding internet availability must coincide with reforms upholding user agency if developing countries hope to leverage connectivity for lasting social gains rather than deepened dependence.

2. IMPORTANCE OF INTERNET ACCESS

2.1 Statistics on Internet Usage and Access Around the World

Internet connectivity has permeated personal, commercial and governmental realms so rapidly that global digital adoption metrics can quickly become outdated. However, tracking access trends remains vital for policymakers seeking to catalyze continued uptake. As of January 2022, over 4.9 billion people worldwide used internet services – equivalent to 63 percent global adoption. This reflects steady growth from an estimated 4.1 billion total users just two years earlier in 2020. Impressively, since 2005 internet penetration has grown over 1,400 percent globally. However, regional penetration gaps persist due to infrastructure constraints.

Internet usage in developed countries with widespread telecom and mobile broadband availability unsurprisingly reflects the highest adoption rates approaching 90 percent of populations. Europe leads globally with nearly 90 percent of individuals accessing the internet in 2021. North America followed closely behind at 89 percent usage. Trailing only marginally, Oceania countries like Australia and New Zealand recorded 87 percent penetration thanks to similar advanced digital ecosystems integrating internet services across public and private sectors.

Generally, advanced economies within Europe, East Asia and North America exceed 80 percent adoption benchmarks. Positive outliers like the United Arab Emirates similarly reflect heavy governmental promotion of digital services, with 98 percent of its population now using the internet regularly. Such nations with near ubiquitous usage must now shift focus to improving access speeds and affordability rather than raw user growth. However, small, advanced states like Andorra and Liechtenstein still report lower 70 percent adoption, signaling even wealthy nations cannot universally enable usage absent targeted policies.

By contrast, developing countries still grappling with daily food security and intermittent electrical supplies on average demonstrate far lower internet uptake below 50 percent penetration. India exhibits extreme polarity, with urban users readily accessing mobile connectivity while nearly half a billion rural residents lack awareness of internet services. Neighboring emerging economies like Indonesia and Philippines face similar dichotomies between digitally immersed urban youth populations and older generations still unfamiliar with online platforms.



The United Nations established an ambitious goal of providing affordable internet access equitably to all global citizens by 2030. But today, over 85 percent of users remain concentrated within wealthy and emerging economies. The 47 least developed nations currently average only two internet users per 10 people. Challenges scaling rural infrastructure and electrical access—for instance supplying consistent power for charging mobile devices—continue hindering adoption outside major metropolises. Myanmar reports just one quarter of its total inhabitants regularly access internet services as of 2020. Similar constraints apply for agrarian societies like Chad and Burkina Faso, with four out of five people still entirely offline today.

Such depressed usage metrics overlay with multidimensional poverty indicators including health, sanitation, nutrition and education weaknesses within struggling states like Congo and Madagascar as well. Until baseline living conditions improve, delivering connectivity to geographically dispersed rural communities often remains an aspirational development goal rather than urgent priority for governments lacking resources. Foreign aid funders similarly gravitate toward financing primary infrastructure over cross-cutting programs like digital upskilling among pressing constituent needs. But neglecting internet adoption altogether risks economic stagnation given growing skill needs for technology use even among agricultural occupations nowadays.

Bridging these glaring connectivity gaps between well-networked urban professionals and digitally deprived rural masses remains imperative for leaders to create inclusive societies in coming decades. Ubiquitous internet access offers no panacea for developing nations lacking governance, healthcare and paved roads. But denying swathes of future workers and micro-entrepreneurs digital literacy almost certainly forestalls their eventual economic participation. An estimated one billion students worldwide faced disrupted educations lacking home internet access amid school coronavirus closures in 2020. Without concerted efforts toward universal access, lost learning opportunities at scale could translate into a generational setback for many developing countries already struggling to impart foundational literacy and digital skills growing essential almost everywhere. Legal codification debates aside, enabling universal internet adoption alongside ancillary tools like digital literacy programs and multilingual web content simply appears indispensable for actualizing 21st century prosperity within any country today, regardless of income classifications.

2.2 Benefits of Internet Access: Communication, Information, Economic Opportunities

The internet's Role in Facilitating Vital Communications

Long before smartphones, connecting far-flung friends and families via affordable long distance communications posed logistical headaches. Establishing a cross-border phone line in lagging countries could take years of bureaucratic requests pre-1990s. Real-time phone calls required booking operator appointments at exact times across time zones. International post mail endured weeks in transit barring express couriers.

Today connecting across the globe appears nearly instantaneous by comparison via messaging apps, social media and video chat. Beyond maintaining personal relationships, such platforms enable impactful political and social organizing as well. Digital communications facilitate everything from routine work meetings to emergency disaster response coordination unimpeded by geography. Individuals can broadcast original messages virally to global audiences unfiltered by institutional media gatekeepers. Through the internet, suggestions and grievances denied previous outlets can actuate real change.



Measuring precise returns from digital communications' soaring increase remains complex as usage and apps continuously evolve. But metrics quantifying the internet's role as information and economic enabler hint at the scope for human connectivity's step-change. Over 3.8 billion people sent or received digital payments internationally last year alone by one estimate – dwarfing 300 million total migrants or overseas travelers physically crossing borders in 2019. Approximately 65 billion instant messages transmit between mobile phone users daily now exceeding global SMS traffic. While metrics vary, informed estimates suggest upwards of 150 billion emails dispatch globally on average every single day now as well dwarfing once revolutionary postal delivery volumes.

Clearly harnessing internet communications now constitutes mission-critical priorities for multinational firms, emergency responders, policy advocates and transnational families alike in the 21st century. Absent digital connectivity, coordinating such diffuse constituencies efficiently appears unfathomable by today's real-time expectations for information sharing.

Accessing Essential Information

Beyond interpersonal exchanges, the internet increasingly serves as modern society's knowledge repository. Digital networks offer unprecedented decentralization of access despite hosting information within physical server warehouses. Individual content creators reaching global viewers online democratize information flows bypassing institutional curators. Content access itself expands exponentially as increasing percentages of humanity's collective output – scholarship, art, journalism, personal memoirs and more – migrate to digital-first publication.

By one estimate the total amount of stored data worldwide already exceeded 44 trillion gigabytes in 2020 alone. Projections forecast total available digital information increasing by over 1,700 percent within just the next four years. Simply storing such volumes would overwhelm single entities like libraries or archives without networked distribution. More importantly access barriers tumble amidst open indexing and hyperlinking online. Digitizing text particularly grants universal accessibility to information like never before possible logistically redistributing physical materials to each worldwide constituency. Already today, internet search offers comfort for health anxieties through medical databases once confined within experts' filing cabinets for instance. Wikipedia averages over 15 billion page views monthly providing free encyclopedia references to students globally that most schools in developing nations could never stock in print.

Without connectivity unlocking dispersed data in real-time, stymieing modern breakthroughs from scientific research to human rights investigations grows inevitable. Watchdog groups leverage online evidence like videos documenting war crimes that oppressive regimes cannot suppress once viral. Broadband networks transport rich media documenting injustice more widely than any single reporter or publication ever could independently. Though misinformation taints parts of the web, on balance increased visibility of human experiences via digital access spurs social accountability.

Empowering Economic Participation

Similarly, internet adoption intersects with nearly every modern industry to enable opportunities once siloed by geography or occupational guilds. Over 200 million previously “unbanked” adults worldwide accessed financial accounts for the first time via mobile phones over 2010–2020 expanding inclusive credit access. Smallholder farmers augment once fixed harvest prospects with real-time commodity pricing data dictating optimal sales timing through their network apps. Approximately 200 million individuals find work



assignments daily through digital labor platforms spanning software coding to grocery delivery coordinating demand and supply fluidly.

Overall the internet economy's total estimated value reached \$11 trillion in 2019 – making it the world's third largest economy already exceeding manufacturing and construction globally. One credible projection forecasts the gross value of goods and services exchanged online doubling to over \$30 trillion by 2025 reflecting blistering growth rates outpacing modern industries. Extending internet access appears imperative for ensuring wide participation in such rapidly emerging digital markets rather than concentrating gains narrowly. With 90 percent of new digital jobs globally requiring basic programming abilities for instance, governments must prioritize expanding both internet and computer science education access to empower tomorrow's workforces keeping pace with global innovation transformations rather than stranding citizens without connectivity out of viable occupations.

2.3 Internet Access During Covid-19 Pandemic

Keeping Connected Amid Coronavirus Lockdowns

The COVID-19 pandemic thrust internet infrastructure directly into the global spotlight as an indispensable public utility seemingly overnight in 2020. Practically every realm of commercial operations, education, entertainment, healthcare, media and office work shifted from in-person exchanges to virtual interfaces as populations sheltered indoors indefinitely. Telecommuting replaced daily commutes as hundreds of millions of white-collar employees connected to their work servers exclusively from laptops in bedrooms rather than cubicles to comply with public gathering bans. Video chatting overtook face-to-face visits as worried elderly isolated from families to avoid infection risk while hospitals leveraged telemedicine to conserve protective gear handling surging caseloads remotely. Students from elementary through university learned through home computer screens once schools shuttered campuses for community safety.

Quantifying the sheer internet demand spike the coronavirus sparked still strains estimation with certain degree given continuously evolving usage habits. However early usage indicators confirm public and private activities increasingly channeled through broadband connections as societies prioritized physical distancing. Voice call minutes supplied by European carriers surged nearly 50 percent in April 2020 immediately after initial lockdown orders issued compared with pre-pandemic baselines. Mobile internet data usage similarly spiked upwards of 30 percent in multiple European nations strained network capacity. American cellphone providers reported Wi-Fi calling minutes doubled alongside 20 percent increases in daily download activity even after expanding capacity to handle peak loads. Inside homes, streaming hours for escapist entertainment content grew between 25–45% for major online platforms like Netflix and YouTube keeping anxious families preoccupied indoors.

Perhaps no metric better signifies the digital pivot catalyzed by COVID-19 than explosive video conferencing adoption enabling everything from classroom instruction to medical appointments or management strategy sessions carry on virtually. Microsoft reported nearly 800 percent growth in Teams meeting participants over 2020—skyrocketing from 32 million daily users in March shelter-in-place orders began to over 270 million participants by year's end. Rival platform Zoom witnessed even more frenetic uptake, with subscriber accounts ballooning 369 percent to 467,100 by January 2021 amidst remote work and education mandates—up from just 101,000 business customers pre-pandemic in 2019. Healthcare providers likewise embraced telehealth tools to safely screen and monitor infected patients outside overwhelmed hospitals



with remote consultations totaling 38 million just under Medicare and Medicaid programs at peak adoption points.

While perhaps intensifying internet dependency faster than anticipated, the coronavirus pandemic also spotlighted lingering digital connectivity gaps excluding certain communities more harshly. An estimated 100 nations implemented nationwide school shutdowns in Spring 2020 impacting over 70 percent of students globally. However real-time participation remained constrained for pupils lacking home computer and internet access still common in low income regions. UNESCO approximates half the total enrolled learners worldwide – over 800 million students total – lacked residential broadband service or device needed for digital remote schooling during campus closures. Scrambling to continue classes many turned to offline mediums instead like educational programming broadcast over radio or television by default. However substituting traditional broadcasts rather than interactive virtual lessons appears an incomplete solution risking skills gaps opening between students cut off from hands-on learning programs reliant on live internet workflows.

Workplace and income vulnerabilities correlated strongly with internet availability amidst mass shutdowns too. The International Labor Organization estimates over four out of five workers inhabit countries with recommended or required workplace closures at pandemic peak. Yet only an estimated one in five jobs globally can plausibly migrate online during shutdowns leaving many unable to perform responsibilities out-of-office. Thus without lingering connectivity shortcomings chances appear higher for maintaining economic lifelines for workers otherwise losing incomes when physical worksites shutter.

Overall the coronavirus outbreak showcased internet infrastructure's mounting import for enabling continuity during extraordinary crises when in-person contact requires indefinite suspension. But exposed shortcomings too spotlight necessary improvement so future disasters avoid isolating disconnected communities entirely from cloud-based systems elsewhere harnessing connectivity to carry on correlating widely with income and development gaps. Thus while celebrating expanding networks' supports cushioning societal functioning, calls to finish spreading broadband completely so its stabilizing tools stay open equally to all receive renewed urgency after pandemic instability exposes where gaps persist.

3. DEBATE AROUND INTERNET AS A HUMAN RIGHT

3.1 Arguments for: Needed for Education, Jobs, Access to Information

Connectivity and Education: A Gateway to Opportunity

Education represents perhaps the most ubiquitously endorsed pathway for expanding economic mobility and life chances regardless of birth circumstances. Extensive research spanning decades demonstrates consistent positive income returns from secondary school completion and post-secondary qualifications universally. Each additional year of schooling frequently correlates with over 10 percent average career earnings growth in both advanced and emerging economies.

However, realizing education's promise for equitable access to skills and credentials relies upon inclusive infrastructure enabling students to regularly participate in classroom activities. Within an increasingly online-integrated learning paradigm, internet availability appears foundational for consistent studies rather than a peripheral amenity.

The COVID-19 pandemic propelled remote digital education engagement center stage with over 1.6 billion students experiencing nation-wide schooling disruptions in early 2020. Scrambling to offer continuity,



educators distributed lessons through home internet portals for digitally connected households while broadcasting televised class content to entirely offline populations left behind.

UNESCO warns such bifurcated achievement gaps risk cementing lower futures for students excluded from hands-on digital tools proficiency development during critical skills uptake years. The OECD cautions students lacking home internet through 2020 pandemic disruptions could suffer curriculum learning losses equivalent to full academic years of classroom time compounding disadvantages. Critically lagging foundational competencies like digital literacy and online self-directed learning for disengaged youth may cascade negatively across their eventual workforce readiness and career trajectories.

Yet even pre-pandemic, early stage internet access availability significantly forecast later educational attainment according to longitudinal assessments. Econometric studies demonstrate students first exposed to high speed broadband for learning applications between grades 6–10 experience boosted high school graduation rates plus expanded college enrollment subsequently. Such intersectional advantages span minority and lower-income cohorts too while holding other factors constant.

Every additional year of early high bandwidth internet access opportunity predicts a 4% average lift in college attendance later and 3% higher earning power by age 25. No other classroom resource aside from educators themselves exerts comparable influence enabling advanced studies according to researchers.

Thus amidst online educational integration, campaigning for internet access as a binding universal right rather than just household luxury interlocks directly with UN Sustainable Development Goal 4.0's targets committing member states to "ensure equal access for all women and men to affordable and quality technical, vocational and tertiary education" globally by 2030.

Powering 21st Century Economic Opportunities

Education may unlock futures, but jobs provide daily purpose and income for the present working-age majority. Here too networked societies' rapid commercial digitization leaves excluded communities struggling to keep pace without improved internet access. Just as computing revolutionized manufacturing and information workflows historically across industries, cyber-physical systems and data analytics now transform everything from agriculture and retail to healthcare and transportation sectors.

Employing advanced IoT sensor networks, factories expedite production forecasting and quality control. Machine learning algorithms personalize digital marketing and e-commerce experiences while optimizing financial services. Telemedicine and remote surgery solutions broaden healthcare access despite geography barriers. Ride sharing coordination and driverless delivery simplify local transportation logistics once requiring proprietary expertise.

Across domains 21st century internet-enabled workflows reshape occupational outlooks. By one typical projection, current students entering job markets can expect over 85% of global GDP produced in some internet-reliant industries by 2025 as data flows redirect everything from manufacturing to marketing. Crucially programs automating routine manual tasks particularly in middle income clerking or factory jobs ensure certain categories of work may never return post-pandemic.

Thus irrespective of particular career specializations, honing universal digital literacy resembling driver's licenses for navigating online platforms grows necessary to access emerging new economy employment space. Schooling children on software and user experiences appears as essential as foundational reading and arithmetic skills today. But students lacking internet connectivity at home face impaired active learning



opportunities relative to connected peers practicing coding or multimedia content creation in their spare time.

Governments focused on empowering inclusive growth must therefore weigh internet access to support both traditional and digital skill-building as central for enabling youth to capture viable economic futures amidst automation transformations. With 93% of jobs requiring digital media handling ability globally already today, populations cutoff from internet access risk isolation from viable work options over time much like illiterate societies struggling to emerge from poverty historically.

3.2 Arguments Against: Could Undermine Achievement of Other Rights Like Food and Electricity

Balancing Connectivity Investments with Infrastructural Needs

Practical counterarguments temper framing internet adoption as an irrefutable human right even while acknowledging connectivity's socioeconomic importance in modern contexts. Development scholars caution governments and aid donors against prioritizing ICT investments before ensuring electricity, sanitation, housing and nutrition security for impoverished communities first.

Such critiques emphasize resource constraints low income states face balancing multiple urgent citizen needs daily. Cash strapped agencies must triage limited budgets across competing health, infrastructural and educational priorities regularly. Financing high-speed fiber optic broadband initiatives could siphon funding from expanding clean water access or malnutrition relief programs with more immediate mortality impacts in disadvantaged regions.

For example, nearly 750 million people globally lacked even basic drinking water access as recently as 2015. Meanwhile over 800 million people suffer chronic nutritional deprivation and sustainably eliminating hunger by 2030 remains a United Nations priority goal. Sub-Saharan Africa grapples with both infrastructural and food security challenges acutely, averaging under 50% household electrification rates despite hosting nearly half the world's population without electricity access today.

Here critics argue donor-favored digital advancement campaigns risk overlooking such basic deficiencies still plaguing families through misaligned policy priorities. Honduras for instance boasted extensive government wireless hotspot networks enabling internet use for capital city residents as early as 2010. Yet over 60% of rural inhabitants there still lacked home electricity needed to power internet-connected devices daily over the same period. Critics cite such mismatches as ironic failures losing sight of the need to crawl before running amidst institutional technophilia.

Similarly conflict-torn nations like Yemen face over 75% of populations living in poverty without reliable nutrition sources, clean water or healthcare access amidst recent cholera outbreaks. There providing backup generators and cellular services alone cannot uplift fundamentally distressed communities. Until lasting ceasefires and political stability enable vital infrastructures' renewal, connectivity remains a tertiary concern.

Accordingly among human rights theorists, some conclude internet access incurs tradeoffs with other unfulfilled needs so cannot be equated to binding universal guarantees like sustenance rights as yet. Framing the internet as solely empowering also downplays complex limitations wired participation places on users, from relentless advertising strains to anxiety linked with excessive social media use documented even among well-connected Western youth.



Nuanced Perspectives

However, moderate critics also acknowledge internet adoption enabling incremental progress on multiple development indicators despite no panacea for structural poverty. Connectivity aids agricultural communities accessing commodity market data through their mobiles daily even while lacking other utilities for instance. Simple mobile money transfers likewise enable direct and immediate welfare distribution without requiring extensive banking access first. Further gentle critics admit target users themselves frequently rank internet access as worth forgoing lesser household amenities given manifold upside connectivity provides where available.

Accordingly more nuanced positions dispute narrowly presenting online access as directly compromising basic needs fulfillment given evidence of positive externalities on food security, healthcare access, education, and microenterprise. Instead integrated approaches allowing internet development in parallel with priority infrastructure upgrades merit open consideration still. The global community succeeded halving extreme poverty percentages over 25 years while expanding telecommunications and computing tech spending simultaneously without apparent conflicts from 1990–2015 across cases. Governance innovation enabling both objectives' coordinated pursuit appears warranted.

All sides concur balanced policies sensitive to nuanced local contexts stay paramount though for optimizing sustainable development. Rather than decree universal internet access an inviolable human right overnight, transitional frameworks recognizing connectivity as an “ancillary enabler” supporting rights fulfillment may allow measured adoption suited to circumstances. Here the internet fosters economic and informational participation where possible but yields priority where provisions lag. Such blended approaches leave space for situational spending efficiencies too deploying telecenters offering public access or wifi hotspots delivering low-cost pilot services lacking immense upfront infrastructure outlays across entire nations.

Critically this balanced outlook retains sight of limitations alongside connectivity's opportunities. While the internet opens doors, true inclusion requires multi-pronged investments like digital literacy and accessibility still often lacking today even many connected communities. But by considering options beyond false dichotomies, developing countries can chart an empowering middle path likely winding further up the mountain of lasting development together.

3.3 Concept of Internet as an "Ancillary Right" That Supports Other Primary Rights

Connectivity Supporting Baseline Human Rights Fulfillment rights discourse balances identifying both moral imperatives and pragmatic duties for states securing citizens' dignified wellbeing. Rights deemed “inalienable” impose obligations upon governing institutions for guaranteeing individuals' autonomy and equality irrespective of identities or demographics. Accordingly legally binding rights enshrine entitlements to foundational living standards like adequate nourishment, shelter, and physical safety necessary for pursuing existential freedoms across creeds.

However auxiliary protections may supplement such baseline guarantees as well. Ancillary rights demonstrate sufficient importance upholding fuller expression of core human rights even lacking the existential urgency primary counterparts hold. Special rights for the disabled promote equitable mobility supporting their livelihoods for instance. For children additional rights like requirements for schooling harness developmental vulnerable.



Recently some technologists and philosophers assert internet access deserves similar ancillary status expanding opportunities citizens require claiming primary civil rights in digitizing societies. Unlike voting or free expression, no precedent suggests connectivity as indispensable for foundational political participation per se historically. Still in networked 21st century contexts, many practical avenues for open information flows, economic inclusion and crisis resilience rely on internet infrastructure across sectors. Thus governments arguably incur ancillary duties providing and protecting network availability facilitating associated rights fulfillments flowing now through online mediums.

This nuanced approach offers several advantages for advancing internet policy adoption suited to varied development contexts where critics see embracing connectivity as a legally mandatory right globally as impractical overreach still today. Framing the internet as an optional right risks governments deprioritizing investments in network infrastructure left solely to private telecoms unaccountable fully to public interests. Yet aiming to elevate access overnight as an unassailable prerequisite right equivalent to food and water also risks pushback from states lacking capabilities or resources for immediate universal service provision.

Instead an ancillary status centers networks' societal importance granting leeway proportionate to circumstances. Committing connectivity as central for auxiliary 21st century rights support need not immediately eclipse deficits in primary amenities access either. Such framing allows sequencing initiatives aptly without disregarding linkages enabling rights through modern tech conduits either.

For developing countries an ancillary designation usefully signals internet adoption's rising significance without overstating bindings beyond foreseeable remedy given strained budgets and legacies still providing electricity or clean water access inadequately. It grants incremental flexibility for initially rolling out community telecenters offering shared public access in rural areas while municipalities extend residential fibre connections toward urban locales at quicker paces for instance. Such transitional governance models account for practical constraints leaders face economically while acknowledging rights fulfillment increasingly relies on modern infrastructure politics must facilitate keeping pace with commercial innovation too.

But neither does ancillary status signify connectivity access proves unimportant or optional across communities. Framing the internet as a critical channel for employment, crisis response communications and inclusive governance still spotlights closing access gaps for authorities and companies. It retains GDPR-like consumer privacy principles and common carriage openness safeguards against blocking legal speech even on private networks as duties vital for rights protections in cyber eras. And it upholds network neutrality principles such that internet slow lanes don't compound inequality either.

Moreover ancillary framing usefully cements open-ended obligations adapting over time as technology evolves. Unlike a single policy, it implies a process commitment to continually enabling universal rights expressions changing form across generations but upholding individuals' agency protecting from arbitrary limits by powerful institutions public or private. Thereby it balances fixed immediate securities with more flexible accommodations for emerging tools bound to shift again.

Accordingly, approaching internet access as an ancillary right supporting foundational guarantees proves neither maximalist nor minimally sufficient alone. It navigates compromise tradeoffs rights debates inherently balance. While perhaps not the boldest moral stance it retains meaningful edges against resignation to purely profit-oriented network developments too. Thereby it offers instrumentalist pathways for improving citizens' welfare amidst suffusing technological shifts even where ideal infrastructures remain distant.



4. ISSUES AROUND CORPORATE CONTROL

4.1 Digital Colonialism – How Big Tech Exploits Developing Countries' Data and Markets

Silicon Valley Giants: Digital Colonizers or Empowering Partners?

Global debates increasingly scrutinize whether American “Big Tech” firms like Google, Apple, Facebook and Amazon exploit developing countries as twenty-first century corporate colonizers amidst their international expansion. Critics argue such data mining giants extract lucrative user data assets from non-Western regions for targeting advertising while providing minimal returns locally. Thereby digital platforms allegedly perpetuate past exploitation patterns reminiscent of European imperial states that subjugated once prosperous colonies for narrow nationalist interests centuries ago through economic coercion framed as modernizing missions.

Now today’s multinational internet intermediaries stand similarly accused of leveraging lopsided influence over poorer nations by concentrating control over data flows and algorithmic intelligence stroking geopolitical tensions over anti-competitive cybersovereignty concerns. For instance Facebook’s subsidiary WhatsApp counts enormous popularity across South America, Africa and South Asia ranking among most popular messaging platforms almost by default for over 2 billion users despite recent controversies around privacy and extremist content moderation. Yet like fellow FAANG conglomerate peers Google and Amazon too dominate their market segments through proprietary internet services rather than open protocols, critics argue such intermediaries represent unaccountable corporate gatekeepers to now essential infrastructure for commerce and communications worldwide. Thereby advocates claim Southern Hemisphere continents face intensifying domination from American Big Tech data oligopolies increasingly infiltrating national internet infrastructure across the Global South from Brazil to Botswana.

Statistics underlining dramatic international growth trajectories driving such criticisms stand stark on their own over the past decade. Google parent company Alphabet counted less than 30 percent of its revenues originating outside of the United States back in 2010. Yet today, fueled by mobile advertising partnerships with handset makers globally over half the tech titan’s astronomic revenues flow from foreign markets—an exponential transformation indicating intensifying worldwide integration under singular Silicon Valley firms. Amazon’s international product sales witnessed comparable rapid expansions saturating e-commerce channels abroad in tandem. Facebook similarly saw monthly international user counts quadruple since 2012 comprising over 90 percent of its 3 billion customer base spread across some 180 countries today.

Such figures underpin common depictions of purported “digital colonialism” patterns where relatively few multinational corporations dominate overseas internet landscapes seemingly intensifying dependencies rather than diversifying opportunities. Critics decry Western platforms’ outsized influence enforcing terms of service and moderation policies upon non-American users with limited understanding of local contexts or accountability to affected populations overseas impacting indigenous expression. Domestically too international tech critics also blame foreign Big Tech elites for undermining local startups unable to compete against cash rich Silicon Valley competitors once their services infiltrate developing digital markets and consolidate advantages through buyouts.

However, a counter perspective frames Established American data intermediaries alternatively as primarily empowering international connectivity and innovation through capital investments and best-practice knowledge transfer overseas instead. Defenders highlight Big Tech co-financing submarine communications cables crucially linking globally dispersed internet exchange points that locally owned telecom providers realistically could never coordinate or fund independently. Amazon Web Services cloud



infrastructure similarly hosts half the internet's websites including tools for businesses in rising economies worldwide. Enormous server farm investments underlie real-time communications from Zoom teleconferencing to YouTube streaming entertainment reaching remote areas impractical to bootstrap digital ecosystems from scratch.

Advocates also note American firms sales abroad radically expand information access and income-generating opportunities for local entrepreneurs previously lacking connections with regional consumers. Ten years ago Brazilian apparel artisans relied upon a few export brokers to laboriously market goods internationally if they exported any merchandise overseas whatsoever. Today tapping e-commerce giants like Etsy and eBay the country's online merchants export over \$400 million worth of goods annually directly serving global online shoppers.

While debates continue over optimal internet governance, developing countries appear not inherently doomed for technological neo-colonialism by Silicon Valley elites' international scope. Global South leaders equally maintain agency charting national digital visions reflecting indigenous priorities and forging international partnerships upholding public interest values around user privacy, platform accountability and digital ethics still. Rather than framing connectivity in zero-sum terms oppositionally, constructive policies can foster equitable growth benefiting diverse internet constituencies worldwide.

4.2 Lack of Protections for Data and Privacy in Many Countries

Safeguarding Digital Consumer Rights

While expanding internet access promises empowering individuals worldwide with informational abundance, critics caution connectivity alone cannot guarantee just societies absent thoughtful governance guarding against misuse in practice. As rising data flows permeate commercial and governmental activities, urgent questions around ethical data collection, privacy rights, and oversight protections demand resolutions balancing innovation with responsible innovation globally. Yet profound regulatory gaps persist presently across jurisdictions.

Nowhere do concerns around "digital colonialism" by multinational corporations loom larger than privacy rights tensions linking advanced and emerging internet economies today. Legal precedents across Western Europe and North America evolved gradually from initial "data protection" focused narrowly upon safeguarding personal identifiers like social security digits or addresses to more universal rights-centric privacy frameworks encompassing data minimization principles and affirmative consent requirements corporate actors must uphold interacting with individuals online. Establishing dedicated commissioners and legal avenues for adjudicating complaints thereby institutionalized certain consumer protections countering unfettered commercial data collection practices locally over decades of internet integration.

However enforcing similar safeguards proves inconsistent still across much of the Global South where legal precedents and regulatory capacity only slowly adapt to twenty-first century data flows permeating societies increasingly. There industrializing countries boasting among the world's fastest growing online user bases confront commercial data mining absent longstanding norms or statutes circumscribing how personal information gets employed after collection.

For instance Indonesia, the Philippines and Thailand alone count nearly half a billion regular social media users today navigating platforms designed under American legal assumptions around permitted data usage that increasingly penetrate their mobile digital ecosystem often via binding opaque terms of service documentation. Yet credible non-governmental privacy indices classify national data protection laws as



sorely lacking still across those rapidly networking emerging economies foundational to enforce responsive corporate accountability.

Such conditions foster real anxieties that transnational internet platforms may effectively operate by extralegal double standards concentrating profits abroad rather than responsible national internet development benefitting domestic populations at large. Some analysts warn gaps safeguarding citizen privacy undermine progress elsewhere expanding connectivity across the developing world in equal measure. Rights groups caution practices like profiling users for advertising risk compounding social marginalization for vulnerable groups absent transparency protections seen elsewhere. Further opaque algorithms mediating digital experiences raise the prospect that even absent intentional exclusion, foreign systems may amplify harmful discrimination through insensitive classification assumptions projecting structural inequalities inadvertently without oversight.

However gaps need not remain indefinite given sufficient societal willpower toward reform. As developing countries increasingly participate digitally, steadily elevating governance standards around ethical data usage offers tools protecting consumers rather than hampering innovation under responsible regulation. Already by 2021 nearly 50 developing states across Latin America, Asia and Africa either implemented or actively drafted modern privacy laws resembling European Union standards seeking to balance economic exchange with proactive human rights protections in the 21st century digital economy.

Moreover wider international policy alliances show promise as well building consensus principles transitional states may embrace maintaining cultural autonomy while avoiding worst exploitation pitfalls. The UN Conference on Trade and Development recommends developing countries unite under a “South Principle” framework regionalizing data governance models mindful of local development priorities within Global South internet economies themselves rather than importing Northern Silicon Valley policy preferences alone binding South Asian and African cyberspaces. Such proposals retain openness for trading data products across borders on equitable terms resisting one-size-fits-all dictates or intellectual property provisions concentrating value abroad by default.

In sum, while still often characterized as digitally vulnerable presently, emerging internet economies maintain meaningful influence over shaping rights-based tech governance futures balancing continued sustainable growth with ethical priorities reflecting public interests. As developing markets supply expanding shares of global internet audiences and data pool volume both, ensuring policymaking invites indigenous input rather than concentrating policy concessions to foreign multinational firms will grow economically essential and ethically obligatory worldwide in equal measure looking ahead.

4.3 Need for Improved Regulations and Corporate Accountability

Toward Responsible Digital Governance

As internet adoption permeates modern infrastructures exponentially, balanced policymaking upholding access alongside accountability gains urgent priority status for many societies worldwide. While connectivity empowers multifaceted societal opportunities, critics highlight governance gaps risk exacerbating threats from misinformation to algorithmic bias absent forceful reforms. Thereby calls for improved regulations and corporate social responsibilities balancing continued innovation with public interests mount across jurisdictions.

Nowhere do such appeals resound louder presently than seeking remedies addressing extralegal authority international technology firms currently enjoy under minimal oversight obligations. Largely self-imposed



ethics norms guided Silicon Valley platforms for decades previously counting nearly all internet users located under American laws within original home jurisdictions. However recent transnational growth concentrating unprecedented monetization powers and content control among relatively few corporate players increasingly concerns policymakers abroad. For instance Facebook today counts more regular users across just three Asian countries presently than its entire 2021 United States membership combined. Yet oversight mechanics imposing platform accountability to affected non-American populations remain largely absent still even as leading social networks' decisions shape global discourse unilaterally via news feeds.

Thereby some analysts increasingly argue for reconceiving internet infrastructure less through traditional national sovereignty lenses alone but rather as an interconnected global public good obliging corporate stewardship duties upon private operators. Those advocating such perspectives call for transcending the entrenched libertarian ethos dominating internet industries historically. In its place they favor updated charters institutionalizing civic responsibilities harnessing platforms' influence addressing societal priorities like social cohesion, consumer welfare and inclusive economic gains.

Pragmatic initiatives demonstrating regulatory possibilities balancing continued innovation with public interest protections already show signs of traction in select jurisdictions as potential wider models. For instance Australia's 2021 News Media Bargaining Code legislation compels platforms reaching significant local market share heights to finance public interest journalism displayed on their channels. Functionally the policy requires Google and Facebook either strike revenue sharing deals with registered Australian media outlets or submit to binding external arbitration by default. Thereby it makes salient that private American platforms depend upon public domain content like independent reporting their algorithms reformat and display for customer eyeballs ultimately. Other parallel accountability reforms introduced require social networks swiftly remove extremist terror content amidst backlashes against platform content moderation standards.

In the European Union regulators now pressure social media channels to accelerate fact checking and labeling misinformation called out by credentialed debunking services over complaints bureaucratic reporting remains sluggish still. Legislators there similarly demand platforms remove illegal hate speech promptly once identified or face escalating non-compliance fines if unaddressed circulating dangerous conspiracies and violent extremism. Critics highlight credible independent audits found Facebook failed flagging as much as 60 percent apparent hate speech content samples under its own corporate rules, though disputes persist around consistently defining unlawful expression.

Both examples display certainly imperfect but viable attempts at oversight policy innovations balancing continued online expansion with mounting public calls expecting greater corporate responsibility from Silicon Valley's largest international actors. Though still controversial, such demonstrable regulatory precedents may inform parallel accountability models other nations develop addressing particular speech priorities and platform ecologies tolerating neither illegal abuse nor fully unaccountable private data control alone.

Thereby while neither panacea nor pandemonium, calibrated regulations appear essential for democratic checks over modern digital infrastructure guarding civic discourse and consumer rights when adequately balanced. Outlining obligations and limitations for corporations proves instrumental rather than inherently burdensome for sustainable sector-wide innovation that avoids overconcentration or exploitation. Though always dynamic conversations refracting changing technological possibilities and social mores



continually, only ongoing constructive dialogue around fair oversight promises optimizing equitable internet access benefitting wider society in the long run too.

5. CONCLUSION

5.1 Restate Issues in Debate Around Human Right Status

Balancing Connectivity and Rights in Evolving Digital Societies

Universal internet availability proves increasingly instrumental, though insufficient alone, for fulfilling modern human rights requisites like healthcare, education and economic participation. Yet tensions persist debating whether connectivity constitutes an irrevocable binding right globally or just aspirational development priority still today. Outlining core issues at stake crystallizes why, despite limitations, aiming to ensure inclusive internet access remains imperative reaping online opportunities equitably.

Foremost, internet access fundamentally transforms avenues harnessing established civil liberties in the 21st century. Communicating instantly worldwide or accessing humanity's digitized knowledge promise to accelerate everything from gender equity to scientific breakthroughs where infrastructure reaches. Thereby, many argue connectivity expands rights horizons by enabling more empowered livelihoods for marginalized groups through participatory digital platforms even if not guaranteeing outcomes.

However, critics counterinvesting prematurely in connectivity risks diverting funding from incomplete primary needs like nutrition, sanitation and electricity security within impoverished developing regions first. They emphasize that while accelerating rights journeys access alone cannot substitute for missing foundational resources where basic poverty persists daily. Though a mobile phone helps coordinate food aid, hunger persists more pressing until bread itself becomes sufficient they argue.

In response, proponents highlight evidence that incremental internet investments paid dividends alleviating inequality even lacking other robust infrastructure historically. Studies underline how networks lifting Bangladeshi villagers out of penury through microlending or African teens learning coding online need not await perfect stability or abundance first. Connectivity can uplift across sectors by granting glimpses beyond circumstances where applied thoughtfully critics sometimes overlook.

Yet skeptics emphasize unresolved regulatory gaps fostering digital exploitation by foreign multinationals also. They flag how Silicon Valley juggernauts like Facebook and Google now dominate many developing countries' internet ecosystems increasingly while evading taxes locally and exporting data profits abroad. Thereby critics caution celebrating connectivity absent governance protections risks outsourcing digital colonization rather than empowerment where corporations escape accountability but collect user insights freely.

However advocates counter that depictions overstate technological determinism obviating local agency altogether. Beyond pushing back through policy reforms like data localization requirements retaining data sovereignty, critics underestimate homegrown innovation flowering on globally interoperable platforms benefiting diverse internet participants equitably. They observe historically how Chinese merchants profited leveraging Western imperial railways for domestic trades on their own initiative from the outset of unfair infrastructures.

Given such compounding complex considerations around expanding internet access beneficially, an emerging consensus view frames connectivity as an ancillary right supporting broader human rights fulfillments today. Like assistive provisions for vulnerable groups, internet availability increasingly facilitates



economic mobility, crisis resilience and inclusive governance in modern contexts warranting universal service support. But it supplements rather than supersedes foundational provisions like housing or healthcare alone. Thereby an ancillary designation carves judicious middle paths avoiding unrealistic immediate bindings while retaining commitments adapting policies continually to spreading technical transformations societies undergo today by deliberate choice rather than deterministic projections. It eschews portraying complex issues falsely as dichotomous fights between technophiles and protectionists for nuanced balancing.

Of course, no singular perfect compromise resolving multifaceted tensions likely exists satisfying all critics uniformly. Funding tradeoffs persist painfully for cash–strapped public agencies no matter how intrinsically access empowers individually. Corporate exploitation dangers remain worryingly concentrated presently until governance reforms take hold more firmly. But collectively an ancillary rights framing cements purposeful dedication toward equitable internet policies benefiting everyday individuals irrespective of status or geography long run. It signifies embracing connectivity's openings while hedging societal risks with accountability to uplift communities inclusively. And it sustains real (albeit optimistic) hopes that tomorrow promises fuller universal rights attainments worldwide wherever broadband may reach empowering lives further through everyone's shared collective global network ultimately.

5.2 Call for Inclusive Growth of Internet Alongside Human Rights Protections

Building an Internet Supporting Universal Rights

Connecting the world promises unprecedented informational, healthcare, and economic access for historically marginalized communities lacking vital resources and opportunities. Already today's burgeoning networks link over 63% of humanity enabling everything from telemedicine reaching rural villages in real time to global marketplaces empowering village craftspeople launching virtual microenterprises rivaling urban storefronts in their living rooms. But further progress depends upon bold policy choices ensuring inclusive internet infrastructure guides social mobility and participation rather than exacerbates exploitation and counterproductive distractions underway.

Foremost that necessitates making internet accessibility and digital rights protections substantive priorities within international development planning equally alongside baseline provisions goals like nutrition, clean water and medical aid. Connectivity infrastructure should not displace lifesaving existing programs. But reframing universal online access as fundamental for unlocking inclusive opportunities and voices today merits elevating by UN agencies and national modernization roadmaps in equal measure. Platform connectivity offers no panacea for development woes alone but increasingly supports healthcare, education and microenterprise programmes' reach and resilience daily. Augmenting focus aligns prudent steps for sufficient future-oriented social welfare systems tracking global technical transformations daily.

Next leaders balancing connectivity calls must reinforce focus upon not just delivering physical access increasing optical fiber capacity pure and simple but maximizing genuine digital inclusion equally. The latter societal concept encompasses everything from privacy rights protecting marginalized users to equity frameworks preventing algorithmic biases from unfairly depriving vulnerable communities equitable digital participation by default through coded architecture decisions alone. It entails considerable literacy and localisation efforts around user interfaces and language support across platforms preventing dominant demographics or foreign multinationals imposing cultural dominance inadvertently through exclusive design assumptions while celebrating connectivity numerically.



In the same vein achieving truly empowering internet growth relies upon corporate governance reforms demanding platforms act as responsible global citizens more broadly also beyond purely profit-driven interests or shareholder returns alone culturally. Transnational tech firms in particular face growing public pressures worldwide from Canada to India curtailing exploitative data extraction and misinformation moderation practices. But voluntary self-regulation appears insufficient alone prompting concerns of digital imperialism patterns concentrating extraordinary influence into relatively few Silicon Valley boardrooms lacking accountability to overseas constituencies they affect by algorithms daily. Thereby policy innovations from Australia's bold News Bargaining law to India's recent social media corporate physical presence mandate display coordinated regulatory pressures encouraging improved civic oversight and financial transparency from powerful platforms shaped through hard legislative negotiations. While always evolving constructive technology regulator dialogue promises balancing continued innovation with equity.

Of course critics remain correct that connectivity introduction risks destabilizing tradeoffs against underfunded existing rights gaps persistently. No technology promises resolving systemic oppression intrinsically alone overnight by itself devoid of holistic social commitments funding transformational policies aiming for emancipatory high ideals consistently over time. But nor should policymakers abandon altogether immense opportunities internet infrastructure expansion unlocks daily enabling unprecedented knowledge transfers, crisis resilience coordination and grassroots economic participation where funded fairly because some regions still lack universal electrification today. Rather such complex multidimensional development challenges call for patient persistent multilateral support advancing equitable favorable internet access and impact monitoring worldwide for inclusive gains from connectivity fulfilling foundational universal rights everywhere ultimately. No perfect singular grand solution likely exists satisfying all critics uniformly under immediate present constraints at once easily. But nor should momentary practical delays obscure deliberate dedication towards steady equitable internet infrastructure progress benefiting everyday developing country individuals irrespective of status or geography through enforceable principles benefiting all long run.

5.3 Emphasize Need to Balance Open Access With Better Governance

Optimizing Internet Liberties and Protections Holistically

Realizing connectivity's full democratizing potential relies upon reconciling open access principles with accountable governance guardrails responsive to public interest priorities. While unprecedented information now flows freely across multiplying digital networks daily, critics increasingly warn unfettered flows risk undermining social cohesion absent carefully calibrated oversight. Thereby complex tradeoffs balancing liberties and limitations merit transparent public debate helping societies harness networks equitably.

Foremost retaining foundational open internet architecture proves paramount for sustaining future innovation opportunities uplifting marginalized communities through bottom-up digital participation channels rather than just top-down centralized edicts alone. Preserving default net neutrality principles avoids fracturing egalitarian access by pricing schemes rationing faster 'premium' connectivity only for privileged subscribers able to pay more out of reach for unemployed digital entrepreneurs or curious schoolchildren otherwise. Challenging selective censorship and throttling restrictions similarly defends expressive freedoms from authoritarian encroachment for dissident bloggers or marginal sects lacking institutional clout to resist state suppression in isolation. While certainly imperfect, open participatory



networks historically catalyzed more positive advancements worldwide from Arab Spring movement coordination to Wikipedia reference commons than oppressive harms overall.

However critics appropriately highlight real risks unchecked platforms concentrate societal harms or market power absent counterbalancing regulatory oversight across sectors. Misinformation chains undermining electoral integrity or public health literacy rapidly propagate digitally viral outrunning sluggish corporate self-regulations claims. Consolidated social media advertising duopolies dictate sensationally optimized algorithmic news feeds chasing controversy unfortunately encouraging extremist radicalization and filter bubbles rather than constructive discourse benefiting digital users. Pervasive profiling harvested unwittingly online also fears enabling predictive microtargeting converting digital liberties into weapons against vulnerable psyches by corporate or governmental interests ultimately unaccountable to affected populations.

Thereby while open access proves foundational protecting free expression, critics argue unfettered private power concentrating control over essential internet utilities increasingly calls for governance innovations balancing access with democratically guided safeguards. Multi-stakeholder policy dialogues offer one pathway convening representatives across industry, government and advocacy groups designing co-regulatory frameworks appropriate for particular contexts and concerns collectively. Telecommunications regulators also steward consumer protections in sectors akin to antimonopoly fair competition enforcement balancing efficiencies against abuses arising from dominant firms unchecked historically. And democratic legislatures maintain prerogatives shaping internet liberties through general laws protecting constituent privacy or modernizing public accommodations accessibilities online via ongoing legislative channels.

Certainly no singular grand regulatory model optimally calibrates complex tradeoffs uniform for all cultures or sectors universally. Heterogenous approached tailored sensitively for circumstantial nuances promise greatest equilibrium sustaining beneficial internet openness with thoughtful oversight. But advancing public discourse and multilateralism around technology regulation promises balancing security and innovation better than unilateral state suppression or profiteering platforms alone long run. Seeking pluralistic middle paths often proves wise sustaining equitable progress addressing multidimensional modern challenges.

While absolutes exist intellectually, societies practically negotiate continually evolving social contracts judging behavioral limits contextually. Thereby rather than reactionary criticism dismissing entire mediums categorically over particular documented abuses, constructive reforms promise lasting welfare gains from emerging networks on balance. Avoiding anti-tech fatalism around internet engagement while responding decisively mitigating credible threats through evidence-based scrutiny offers sustainable way forwards appropriating connectivity advantages for pro-social progress majorities desire facilitated responsibly. With patient optimism and constructive accountability, societies may yet guide digital transformations equitably worldwide through multilateral internet governance enriching millions more future lives if balanced thoughtfully across constituencies.

REFERENCES

- [1] Secretariat, G. (2015, July 12). 17 ways education influences the new 17 global goals. Global Partnership for Education. <https://www.globalpartnership.org/blog/17-ways-education-influences-new-17-global-goals>



- [2] Estes, A. C. (2013, October 30). The Case for (and Against) Internet as a Human Right. The Atlantic. <https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2012/01/case-for-and-against-internet-as-human-right/315164/>
- [3] News Release. (n.d.). DOL. <https://www.dol.gov/newsroom/releases/ilab/ilab20220928>
- [4] Team, C. (2023, November 22). Economic Forecasting. Corporate Finance Institute. <https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/economics/economic-forecasting/>
- [5] Kornack, D. R., & Rakić, P. (2001, December 7). Cell Proliferation Without Neurogenesis in Adult Primate Neocortex. *Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1065467>
- [6] Rothkopf, D. (2015, February 2). Is Unrestricted Internet Access a Modern Human Right? Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. <https://carnegieendowment.org/2015/02/02/is-unrestricted-internet-access-modern-human-right-pub-58930>
- [7] Digital inclusion of all. (n.d.). ITU. <https://www.itu.int/en/mediacentre/backgrounders/Pages/digital-inclusion-of-all.aspx>
- [8] Psaila, S. B. (2022, September 7). 'UN declares Internet access a human right' – did it really? – Diplo. Diplo. <https://www.diplomacy.edu/blog/un-declares-internet-access-human-right-did-it-really/>
- [9] Data and privacy unprotected in one third of countries, despite progress. (2020, April 29). UNCTAD. <https://unctad.org/news/data-and-privacy-unprotected-one-third-countries-despite-progress>
- [10] Chris, A. (2024, March 9). 24 Meaningful Facebook Statistics For Marketers In 2024. [reliablesoft.net. https://www.reliablesoft.net/facebook-statistics/](https://www.reliablesoft.net/facebook-statistics/)
- [11] SDG 4: Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all. (n.d.). UN Women – Headquarters. <https://www.unwomen.org/en/news/in-focus/women-and-the-sdgs/sdg-4-quality-education>
- [12] M. (2018, July 9). Urban Mobility in the 21st Century. NYU Wagner. <https://wagner.nyu.edu/impact/research/publications/urban-mobility-21st-century>
- [13] Seiller, L. (2022, January 17). Internet access: a new human right? Equal Times. <https://www.equaltimes.org/internet-access-a-new-human-right>